Info-ParaNet Newsletters Volume I Number 525

Tuesday, January 21st 1992

Today's Topics:

Crop Circles Down Under
FPP STUDY
radioisotopes in corn -- Beckhampton,UK.
Siberian Encounter
Siberian Encounter
Aussie Circles
Re: CIS MESSAGE
Re: AMAZON QUESTION
Re: AN INTERESTING DEVELOPMENT ...
Amazon Question
Australian Computer Book
Re: New Planets?
Re: Nephilim
Radioisotopes
Aussie Circles
Wackenhut

From: Bill.Chalker.UFORA.Associate.NSW@f8.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Bill Chalker UFORA Associate NSW)
Subject: Crop Circles Down Under
Date: 12 Jan 92 18:01:00 GMT

Sheldon, Further to your comments and message to Keith re topographic considerations in Australian cases. I will limit my comments to 3 cases of prominence in the "circles" arguement.
1. Tully, 1966 - the topography does not fit the originally stated Meaden theory. The Horseshoe lagoon involved is part of an extensive flat coastal area. The nearest hill/mountain/highground is literally miles away.
2. Rosedale, 1980 - once again the topography does not fit, plus in this case we are dealing with a very long duration event with respect to the UFO observed, which sat on the ground long even for the farm caretaker to get dressed, ride his motorbike down to a gate approximately 400 metres from the house, then ride up to within 10 to 15 metres. A duration of at least 5 minutes, and there I think we are being conservative.
3. Mallee/Speed in Victoria (the Jolly property) scene of some of the most complex "circles" phenomenology in Australia, once again the topography appears to be unsuitable - gentle slightly undulating countryside, which would be characterised as largely flat.
It seems to me that certainly in the cases of 1 & 2 above attempts to reconcile both to Meaden vortex events are quite flawed and rely on inaccurate characterisations of the UFO

events involved and grossly unwarranted extrapolations of the topographic restrictions of the theory. I think these days Dr. Meaden has made the topographic conditions for his vortex formations so weak that the energy generation/votex development become very tenuous.

My position on crop circles can still be best represented by by March, 1989 statement to Jenny Randles, part of which she used in her/Paul Fuller's "Controversy of the Circles" (1989). As she deleted most of my arguments re Tully due to space, I have quoted the whole of my original statement as follows:

STATEMENT ON THE BRITISH MYSTERY CIRCLES CONTROVERSY

The evolution of the vortex theory for the mystery circle formations in English corn fields is a good model of co-operation of scientific specialists and UFO researchers. It appears to be a possible explanation for many of the circle formations, that fit the topographic restrictions of the theory.

The controversy that surrounds the British circles is a classic example of the problem of UFO myth making on evidence that is poor. Virtually all of the British circles have no direct evidence of a UFO cause. In cases where there is no direct correlation, then speculation has to be sensible and cautious. It strikes me that the vortex model is a cautious approach. It is not however proven, but is certainly a better fitting explanation than the "extraterrestrial" suggestions.

In any case where there is an interesting physical trace, that lacks a direct UFO correlation, the physical evidence has to be extraordinary if we are to entertain the possibility of an exotic stimuli. So far the British circles do not provide such evidence.

I remain open minded, but must say on the current evidence I would lean towards a mixture of vortex formations and hoaxing. I have not seen any compelling evidence that would support a UFO connection. If there is any available I would be happy to assess it.

I would suggest that protogonists should consider my comments presented in my "physical trace" chapter in "UFOs - 1947-1987".

While I see the vortex model as being a credible response to the circle controversy, I must however caution about applying it too dogmatically. For example Jenny Randles applied the vortex theory to the classic Australian Tully UFO nests of 1966. See her (book) "The UFO Conspiracy".

I have spent some considerable time assessing the evidence of the 1966 Tully CE2 cases that involved farmer George Pedley. I have reviewed the original data, the RAAF files, interviewed George Pedley - the witness, Albert Pennisi - the property owner, and the original investigators, particularly Stan Seers, and I have to state that the vortex model does not apply for the following reasons:

1.The topography does not fit the theory. The Horseshoe lagoon is part of an extensive flat coastal area. The

nearest hill/mountain/highground is literally miles away.
2. There was no prevailing wind conditions. In fact the
weather had been fine and sunny.
3. The witness saw a UFO described as 2 saucers end on end,
in broad daylight at close proximity for some 1- to 15
seconds. To arge thast he saw a "vortex" is to
categorically reject his evidence and the physical evidence
at the site. The reeds did not disappear from the site.
They were floating on the surface. There was no evidence
that sufficient quantity of reeds were lifted up to create
the impression of the UFO Pedley saw. He saw it directly
above the "nest". It then rose up and then moved of at
speed rotating as it went. I think it is extremely
difficult to reconcile this to an observation of a "vortex".
The RAAF (Royal Australian Air Force) suggested this as an
explanation at the time. It did not fit the facts then, nor
does it fit the "vortex" model as it is currently
understood...
Providing researchers understand the limitations of the
vortex theory, then I see it as a reasonable hypothesis for
investigation and possible explanation of mystery circles
found in suitable topographic conditions.
END OF 1989 STATEMENT
Since then Meaden's hypotheisis has evolved arguing for a
"plasma vortex" which might account for some anomolous
lights at the site of circle formations. Once again this
evolved theory does not adequately account for the Tully
event and certainly does not fit Rosedale as Jenny Randles
tried to do in her coauthored book "Crop Circles". Her
analysis of the Rosedale case in that book was flawed and a
correct interpretation of the data re that event finds the
Meaden vortex as an usuitable explanation.
I agree with you position on the more complex patterns that
they are most likely hoaxes.
Trust this information helps.
Regards, Bill Chalker.

--
Bill Chalker UFORA Associate NSW - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Bill.Chalker.UFORA.Associate.NSW@f8.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Keith.Basterfield@f12.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Keith Basterfield)
Subject: FPP STUDY
Date: 12 Jan 92 19:13:00 GMT

To: John H Chalmers Jr
Thanks for your comments about the SI item on the paper I co-authored.
The following is the abstract from the paper itself:-

"Psychopathological interpretations of individuals who claim contacts with extraterrestrials typify the few psychiatric evaluations of such behaviour. Biographical analyses of 152 subjects who reported temporary abductions or persistent contacts with UFO occupants shown that these subjects are remarkably devoid of a history of mental illness. However, in 132 cases, one or more major characteristics were found of what Wilson and Barber (1981) identified as the fantasy-prone personality (FPP). Although they appear to function as nomral, healthy adults, FPP's experience rich fantasy lives and score dramatically higher (relative to control groups) on such characteristics as hypnotic susceptibility, psychic ability, healing, out-of-the-body experiences, automatic writing, religious visions and apparitional experiences. In the present study, UFO "abductees" and "contactees"exhibit a pattern of symptamology similar to that of FPPs. Thus, clinicians should consider testing UFO abductees and contactees for fantasy proneness in cases in which a particular psychopathological diagnosis in not obvious."
You will not that the SI did not say it was a biographical study. Since we did not have access to a number of abductees to undertake psych testing we studied their texts. We suggested health professionals should look into the topic of abductions to see if FPP was the answer. Kenneth Ring, and Christopher Rosing of the Psych dept, Uni of Connecticut conducted such a study of both abductees and people reporting near death experiences. Their conclusion "...fantasy proness is not a factor that
differentiates our experiential from our control groups." However, Bob Bartholomew and I believe their methodology to be faulty and their result unclear. The J Allen Hynek Center for UFO Studies is currently conducting an examination of our hypothesis in conjunction with a sample of persons claiming abductions and a number of health professionals.
Thanks for your interest, I hope you will obtain a copy of the article and read it. Should you wish copies of other material in this intriguing area, I would be only too happy to post a list of Journal articles on the FPP. Thanks again.

--
Keith Basterfield - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Keith.Basterfield@f12.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: UNHH.UNH.EDU!K_MACARTHUR1
Subject: radioisotopes in corn -- Beckhampton,UK.
Date: 15 Jan 92 20:36:32 GMT

From: K_MACARTHUR1@UNHH.UNH.EDU

    If what you are refering to as a dutron is a deuteron (one proton,one neutron), this is just an isotope of hydrogen, deuterium.   It is found

naturally as heavy water and is present in approx. 1% or so (maybe a little less) in seawater and most other water on Earth. Nothing strange about finding traces of it anywhere. As for the other radioisotopes found in the plants, consider the effects of what blew thattaway from Chernobyl in 1986, and cross all of those isotopes from the list (thorium, iodine and many more ...). After that, check with a competent geologist/geophysicist on what trace isotopes are normal for the region's dirt and if there are any traces still around from the air burst atomic tests in the 1950's (I wonder how many Chernobyl equivalents those amounted to per year, nasty.). If there are any isotopes left on your list that do not occur in corn near the site in question, then you have some interesting data to research. Another way to have *very* scant traces of an isotope in surface soil: cosmic rays. There are many high energy particles that penetrate the atmosphere and enter the crust. Not too many, else we never would have evolved, but enough particle events to measure and cause effects in materials, just like a particle accelerator. Just my $0.02 worth on this....

Korac MacArthur
k_macarthur1@unhh.unh.edu
(internet)

From: Sheldon.Wernikoff@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Sheldon Wernikoff)
Subject: Siberian Encounter
Date: 15 Jan 92 23:05:02 GMT

To: Mark Rhodeghier
Subject: Siberian Encounter

*** Sorry Mark, I inadvertantly misspelled your last name, so I am re-posting this message to you! ***

Hi Mark,

I recently received the Nov/Dec issue of IUR, and as usual, thoroughly enjoyed reading it.

I did find something very puzzling however in "Encounter over Siberia", written by Richard Haines.

Vladimir Kuzmin, the Soviet....er, uh...Russian? pilot who sighted a UFO while piloting his L-29 jet trainer, seemed to recall the incident in splendid detail, except for one minor problem. He apparently didn't remember what day it was! Don't you find that a bit odd?

Dr. Haines begins the article by stating that the incident occurred "in central Siberia between 3 and 3:30 P.M. local time on December

24 or 25, 1989". That's only two years ago, and were talking about Xmas eve or Xmas day! Didn't he file a flight plan? How could he possibly not recall the day?

Perhaps it was Dr. Haines that was uncertain? Regardless, it struck me like a ton of bricks, and left me wary of the entire account.

Take care,

Sheldon
--
Sheldon Wernikoff - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Sheldon.Wernikoff@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Sheldon.Wernikoff@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Sheldon Wernikoff)
Subject: Aussie Circles
Date: 16 Jan 92 03:32:01 GMT

In a message to Sheldon Wernikoff <12-Jan-92 11:01>
Bill Chalker wrote:

BC> Sheldon, Further to your comments and message to Keith re
BC> topographic considerations in Australian cases. I will limit my
BC> comments to 3 cases of prominence in the "circles" arguement.

Hello, Bill... and thanks for taking the time to respond with such specific details. I shall comment on your post...

BC> 1. Tully, 1966 - the topography does not fit the originally
BC> stated Meaden theory. The Horseshoe lagoon involved is part of
BC> an extensive flat coastal area. The nearest
BC> hill/mountain/highground is literally miles away.

I agree that this case does not fit Meaden's theory directly, however, being in a coastal region, the land/water/air thermal variations could be conducive to vortex formation. As a sailor, I have frequently noticed strong on-shore off-shore breezes in coastal areas, even at times when calm air prevailed inland and seaward.

BC> 2. Rosedale, 1980 - once again the topography does not fit,
BC> plus in this case we are dealing with a very long duration
BC> event with respect to the UFO ... ... A duration of at least
BC> 5 minutes, and there I think we are being conservative.

I don't think there is much possibility of a vortex being the basis here. 5 minutes would be a terribly long time for any vortex,

convectional or plasma, to remain stable and motionless on the ground.

BC> I think these days Dr. Meaden has made the topographic
BC> conditions for his vortex formations so weak that the energy
BC> generation/vortex development become very tenuous.

There are in all probability, different variations of "crop circle type" UGM's with various origins. However, if the data is correct, there certainly seems to be some correlation (at least in England) to circle formation proximate to the leeward side hill slopes. For example 1989 yielded the following data according to Meaden's analysis:

Number of circles 0-0.5 km from hill slope: 54
Number of circles 0.5-1.0 km from hill slope: 135
Number of circles 1.0-1.5 km from hill slope: 55
Number of circles 1.5-2.0 km from hill slope: 33
Number of circles 2.0-2.5 km from hill slope: 22
Number of circles 2.5-3.0 km from hill slope: 8
NO circles were located greater than 3 km from a hill slope.

Nonetheless, there is a problem with the data, which I want to elaborate on. The statement that NO circles were found greater than three miles distant from a hill slope requires qualification. In the south of England, it is practically impossible to travel MORE THAN 3 km, WITHOUT encountering a hill. It is a VERY HILLY region. Hence, to state no circles were discovered at distances greater than 3 km from a hill is a NECESSITY due to the very nature of the terrain. A perfect example of how good data can yield misleading conclusions without possession of ALL the facts!

Finally, I shall comment on your closing statements circa the Tully case; having discussed topological considerations previously

BC> 2. There was no prevailing wind conditions. In fact the weather
BC> had been fine and sunny.

Generally, the English crop circles have formed in still, night air. The vortex would seem to be an extremely localized event, not dependent on conventional wind patterns. From continuing anecdotal accounts, both in England and Japan, a "mist" seems to be associated with the formation of the genuine phenomenon.

BC> 3. The witness saw a UFO described as 2 saucers end on end, in
BC> broad daylight at close proximity for some 1- to 15 seconds. To
BC> argue thast he saw a "vortex" is to categorically reject his
BC> evidence and the physical evidence at the site. The reeds did
BC> not disappear from the site. They were floating on the surface.
BC> There was no evidence that sufficient quantity of reeds were
BC> lifted up to create the impression of the UFO Pedley saw. He
BC> saw it directly above the "nest". It then rose up and then
BC> moved of at speed rotating as it went. I think it is extremely
BC> difficult to reconcile this to an observation of a "vortex".

Are you Bill, through your interviews with the principals of this case, convinced that the witness viewed a solid object, rather than a double-convex opaque "cloud-type" composition? The "rotating as it went" part makes me think "vortex". How rapidly did the UFO rise? How long did it remain in sight AFTER it began to rotate?

BC> I agree with your position on the more complex patterns - that
BC> they are most likely hoaxes.

Good! What about the recently reported radiation anomalies? I have a feeling the data may be being misinterpreted. Some elements that should be showing up in the gamma spec data are curiously absent. We shall see.

BC> Trust this information helps.

It has... and Thanks!

-- Sheldon

--
Sheldon Wernikoff - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Sheldon.Wernikoff@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Pete.Porro@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Pete Porro)
Subject: Re: CIS MESSAGE
Date: 13 Jan 92 20:28:00 GMT

I'll save the address and send another package of junk mail in amonth or so. As for typing at work, I bought the business about 6 years ago. All that really means is that along with everything else, I get to take out the garbage, clean the toilets and do the windows. As anyone slef employed knows, it has none of the advantages or perks of being employed by someone else. (nice typing Pete) The best part of the deal is I get to take off three days a year to do anything I want.

I'm still keeping an eye out for more on West and the Sphinx, but since footbal is nearly over I won't be reading USA today, where he has gotten the most reasonable coverage.

When you get the package you will see that nothing is normal around here for the last few years, but I'm still trying...
--
Pete Porro - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Pete.Porro@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Pete.Porro@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Pete Porro)
Subject: Re: AMAZON QUESTION
Date: 13 Jan 92 20:37:17 GMT

Tristan Jones has a new book out, "Seagulls in my Soup" is supposedly a follow up of sorts to "Saga of a Wayward Sailor" (neither one read yet) He is now retired living in Thailand working with handicapped children. I believe he just had is other leg amputated recently.

Off topic, but I hope this fills in some spaces.
As usual this information is stored somewhere in the archives in the back of my mind, and I can't even guess why.
--
Pete Porro - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Pete.Porro@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Galt@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Galt)
Subject: Re: AN INTERESTING DEVELOPMENT ...
Date: 13 Jan 92 23:24:19 GMT

Wackenhut Corp., one of the nation's largest security firms, said Friday it has bought the U.S. security operations of ISS International Service System Inc.

The purchase price was not disclosed.

ISS International has more than 700 employees in its U.S. security operations, primarily in Jacksonville, Los Angeles, Dallas, Atlanta, Cleveland and Chattanooga, Tenn.

The U.S. security arm of ISS International, based in Copenhagen, Denmark, has annual revenues of $7.5 million.

Wackenhut has 40,000 employees and annual revenues of $550 million.

These guys are getting biger.
--
John Galt - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Galt@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)
Subject: Amazon Question
Date: 15 Jan 92 05:23:00 GMT

> inspiring they gained new perspective on their own little problems

Yes, he does have a knack for showing us how mundane most of our little difficulties really are.

> BTW, I didn't realize you were a serious sailor.

The operative word is "was." Didn't get away from it really by choice; it just worked out that way.
But we're straying far from the echo topic..... ;-)

jbh

--
John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Mark.Rodeghier@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Mark Rodeghier)
Subject: Australian Computer Book
Date: 15 Jan 92 16:22:00 GMT

VG> Mark,
VG>
VG> I just polled Paranet and got your message. I then called
VG> Telecom Australia Overseas telephone assistance and told
VG> them about your problem. They told me that you should NOT
VG> dial 03 (this is our internal interstate accesss number)

VG> Thanks.
VG>
VG> Vladimir
VG>

Vladimir,

It would seem that you too are a victim of a crossed wire network. My name is Mark Rodeghier too, but I'm not the same one you have been in contact with in the past. It's possible that this other 'Mark Rodeghier' is related to me in some way but I have never met him. This is the second time

this has happened on this net. If you know of a way that I might contact him directly, please let me know. I would like to find out if we are related. With a name like Rodeghier, we can't be too far apart on the geneology tree.

Sorry about the mix up and I hope your message got to the other 'Mark' without delay.

The Other Mark... ;-)

--
Mark Rodeghier - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Mark.Rodeghier@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Linda.Bird@f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Linda Bird)
Subject: Re: New Planets?
Date: 16 Jan 92 05:09:00 GMT

Hi Danny,

I asked my husband Loren about your inquiry. He thinks we should wait for independent confirmation that there are even 2-3 planets around that pulsar. (He is an amateur astronomer and gobbles up astronomy books!)

According to CNN tonight, the astronomer who thinks he may have found ONE planet around a different star has retracted his claim due to "bad data."

If I find out any more, I'll post it. (:-)

Linda

--
Linda Bird - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Linda.Bird@f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: neptune.convex.com!swarren
Subject: Re: Nephilim
Date: 16 Jan 92 17:42:41 GMT

From: swarren@neptune.convex.com (Steve Warren)

+From: Carl.Aztec@f70.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Carl Aztec)
+Subject: Nephilim
+Date: 3 Jan 92 17:47:00 GMT
+
                    ...
+This is the name that appears as Jehovah in many versions of
+the bible. It's a form of the verb 'to be' and means 'he
+is'. The name YHVH was considered such a powerful word,
                    ...

Actually I believe that it is more properly 'I Am,'
rather than 'He Is.'

When Moses asked God who God was, and whom Moses should tell the Israelites had sent him to them, God said, 'I am who I am. This is what you are to say to the Israelites: 'I Am' has sent me to you.' The word 'I Am" is JHVA.

As far as His identity, He claims to have created everything that exists. The Bible records some fairly spectacular actions that He is supposed to have performed in order to demonstrate His identity - a great revelation accompanied by equally great signs.

If some 'spiritual being' wants to bring a revelation regarding JHVA, then that being should be prepared to provide equally great signs. Otherwise I wouldn't waste my time with them.

          _.
--Steve ._||__   swarren@convex.com
  Warren v\ *| --
            V

From: Sheldon.Wernikoff@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Sheldon Wernikoff)
Subject: Radioisotopes
Date: 17 Jan 92 00:36:01 GMT

In a message to All <15-Jan-92 18:26> Korac MacArthur wrote:

KM> If what you are refering to as a dutron is a deuteron (one
KM> proton,one neutron), this is just an isotope of hydrogen,
KM> deuterium.

Korac - your definition of a deuteron is correct, however, it is regarded as a specific subatomic particle, physically dissimilar from deuterium in that it does not contain deuterium's single orbiting electron.

KM> It is found naturally as heavy water and is present in approx.
KM> 1% or so (maybe a little less) in seawater and most other water
KM> on Earth.

Deuterium is found IN heavy water, not AS "heavy water", which is deuterium _oxide_. Heavy water composes roughly 0.015% of all terrestrial water.

KM> Nothing strange about finding traces of it anywhere.

Agreed, but Robert was in error when he stated "dutron" was detected in one of the British circles. I believe he had inadvertently misinterpreted "dutron" as one of the rare, short-lived radioisotopes that were allegedly discovered.

KM> As for the other radioisotopes found in the plants,
KM> consider the effects of what blew thattaway from Chernobyl in
KM> 1986, and cross all of those isotopes from the list (thorium,
KM> iodine and many more ...).

Valid point Korac - this has been accomplished. The detected isotopes have been cross-checked against catalogued effluent and degradation products from Chernobyl, atomic bomb tests, and nuclear plants. Long-lived isotopes such as Cesium-137 from Chernobyl were found in the samples AND control, and therefore not considered.

KM> After that, check with a competent geologist/geophysicist on
KM> what trace isotopes are normal for the region's dirt

The isotopes discussed are _non-naturally occurring_, so none are considered "normal" for any locale's soil.

KM> and if there are any traces still around from the air burst
KM> atomic tests in the 1950's

The isotopes discussed are very short-lived (average half-life approximately 20 days), so even if they could have been products of the '50s A-tests, there would be no detectable traces left today. That's not to say that there aren't many "long-lived" air burst isotopes still present in '90s soil!

KM>If there are any isotopes left on your list that do not occur in
KM> corn near the site in question, then you have some interesting
KM> data to research.

Agreed, but the problem now is that if the detected isotopes ARE products of the proposed deuteron activation mechanism, there should also be OTHER isotopes present which have not been observed, such as Iron-56 --> Cobalt-56. Iron-56 is any extremely common soil component.

KM> Another way to have *very* scant traces of
KM> an isotope in surface soil: cosmic rays.

Very true, and this issue has been addressed. None of the observed radonuclides could have been produced by cosmic ray bombardment.

I must emphasize the need for supplementary, independent confirmation of the data, due to the highly interpretive nature of gamma spectroscopy, lack of adequate controls, and the small sample set observed.

-- Sheldon

--
Sheldon Wernikoff - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Sheldon.Wernikoff@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Brent.Wilcox@p5.f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Brent Wilcox)
Subject: Aussie Circles
Date: 16 Jan 92 19:57:49 GMT

In a message to Bill Chalker <15 Jan 92 20:32> Sheldon Wernikoff wrote:

 SW> Good! What about the recently reported radiation anomalies? I have
 SW> a feeling the data may be being misinterpreted. Some elements that
 SW> should be showing up in the gamma spec data are curiously absent.
 SW> We shall see.

True. It's too early to draw conclusions from the radiation anomalies. But these anomalies were found in samples taken from a complex pattern, not a "simple" circle.

If the anomalies hold up to scrutiny, they raise new questions about the complex patterns.

--
Brent Wilcox - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Brent.Wilcox@p5.f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Don.Ecker@p0.f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Don Ecker)
Subject: Wackenhut
Date: 17 Jan 92 02:46:00 GMT

John Galt said:

> Wackenhut Corp., one of the nation's largest security firms, said Friday
> it has bought the U.S. security operations of ISS International Service
> System Inc. The purchase price was not disclosed. ISS International
> has more than 700 employees in its U.S. security operations, primarily
> in Jacksonville, Los Angeles, Dallas, Atlanta, Cleveland and
> Chattanooga, Tenn.

In several investigations that I am currently involved in for UFO Magazine as well as my radio show "UFOs Tonite", I have come across the Wackenhut connection. As many of you may know, Wackenhut is responsible for outside security around Area 51 and site S-4. Last year there was a helicopter crash in Las Vegas that killed a number of Wackenhut employees, plus they are involved in the Inslaw scandel. At any rate much more than I can go into here. However**********

I checked CIABASE and came up with the following. Incidently, all sources are available for the following information if requested.

Read and contemplate.

****

CIABASE FILES

CONFLICT, LI

PERU, 88-91 PRIVATE SECURITY CORPORATION FORMING IMPORTANT ROLE
IN LIC-DRUG OPERATIONS. FROM 1981-91, PERUVIAN SECURITY CORPORATIONS
INCREASED FROM 80 TO 350, PLUS 150 UNOFFICIAL ORGANIZATIONS. AMONG
THOSE IS WACKENHUT CORP, WHOSE EMPLOYEES CONSIST OF MANY EX-CIA,
DEA, FBI MEMBERS. Z 11/90 87

DOMESTIC OP

CHILE, CUBA. WACKENHUT CORP OF CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA. PROVIDES
SECURITY SYSTEMS THRUOUT WORLD. INMAN WAS DIRECTOR OF CORP PLUS
OTHER INFLUENTIAL PERSONS IN 80 WACKENHUT WORKED CLOSELY WITH
S. CALIFORNIA'S CABAZON INDIANS AND THEIR TRIBAL ADMINISTRATOR
JOHN PHILIP NICHOLS. NICHOLS PER NEWS ARTICLE, BOASTED RE ATTEMPTED
ASSASSINATION CASTRO AND SUCCESSFUL ASSASSINATION OF SALVADOR ALLENDE.
WACKENHUT/CABAZON CONNECTION OF PARTICULAR INTEREST TO D. CASOLARO
WHO DIED IN W.VIRGINIA IN 91 HE ALSO INVESTIGATING OCTOBER SURPRISE
AND INSLAW. MICHAEL RICONOSCIUTO - A WEAPONS-SYSTEM DESIGNER AND
SOFTWARE SPECIALIST - WAS DIR OF A RESEARCH PROJECT OF WACKENHUT/CABAZON
JOINT VENTURE. IN EARLY 80s AFFIDAVIT SAID HE MODIFIED A STOLEN
PROMIS SOFTWARE FOR FOREIGN SALES. OTHER INFO ON WACKENHUT. ITT
9/24/81 4-5

FOR EIGHT YEARS INSLAW BATTLING JUSTICE DEPT FOR POSSESSION OF
PROMIS, A SOFT-WARE PROGRAM DEVELOPED BY COMPANY OWNER BILL HAMILTON.
IN 91 INSLAW WENT PUBLIC AND ALLEGED REAGAN JUSTICE DEPT, AFTER IT
HAD STOLEN PROMIS, TURNED IT OVER TO EARL BRIAN. INSLAW ALLEGES

SOFTWARE GIVEN BRIAN AS PAYBACK FOR BRIAN'S HELP IN ARRANGING ARMS-FOR-HOSTAGE DEAL WITH IRAN IN 1980 (OCTOBER SURPRISE). PER HAMILTON, BRIAN, WHO RUNS UPI, ALLEGEDLY MARKETED PROMIS TO INTEL AGENCIES OF ISRAEL, JORDAN, IRAQ, CANADA, SOUTH KOREA, LIBYA, ENGLAND, GERMANY, FRANCE, AUSTRALIA, THAILAND, JAPAN, CHILE, GUATEMALA, AND BRAZIL. PER INSLAW, ONCE SOFTWARE USED BY FOREIGN INTEL SERVICES, NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY (NSA) ABLE INFILTRATE COMPUTERIZED INTEL FILES THOSE COUNTRIES. MODIFICATIONS TO PROMIS ALLEGEDLY DONE BY WACKENHUT CORP. OF CORAL GABLES, FL. HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE INVESTIGATING SCANDAL SINCE 89. ATTORNEY GENERAL THORNBURG, UNDER SUPOENA, RELEASED INSLAW-RELATED FILES - BUT 15 TO 20 FILES MISSING. ITT 9/10/91 4-5

STORY OF DANNY CASOLARO - WHO HAD BEEN SORTING THRU THE S&L DEBACLE, BCCI, IRAN-CONTRA, CONTRA-CONNECTED WACKENHUT CORP., WACKENHUT-CONNECTED INSLAW CASE AND INSLAW-CONNECTED "OCTOBER SURPRISE." ITT 9/10/91 4-5

LIAISON

INSLAW PRES BILL HAMILTON SAYS HE HAS INFO THAT PROMIS ILLEGALLY SOLD TO SOUTH KOREA, LIBYA, JORDAN, GREAT BRITAIN (ENGLAND), GERMANY, FRANCE, AUSTRALIA, THAILAND, JAPAN, CHILE, GUATEMALA, BRAZIL, AND CANADA. CANADIAN COMPANY, COMMUNICATIONS CANADA - THE CANADIAN WORKPLACE AUTOMATION RESEARCH CENTER (CWARC) WROTE INSLAW A LETTER. ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE ARE OPERATING PROMIS IN 900 LOCATIONS. MICHAEL RICONOSCIUTO SWORE IN AN AFFIDAVIT THAT WHEN HE DIRECTOR OF WACKENHUT CORP OF CORAL GABLES, FL ONE OF HIS JOBS TO ADAPT PROMIS SOFTWARE. PETER VIDENIEKS AND EARL W. BRIAN WERE FREQUENT VISITORS TO WACKENHUT. RICONOSCIUTO SAID HE TO MODIFY PROMIS FOR IMPLEMENTATION IN LAW ENFORCEMENT AND INTEL AGENCIES WORLDWIDE. AFTER TESTIFYING BEFORE HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE INVESTIGATORS, RICONOSCIUTO ARRESTED AND CHARGED WITH SELLING MORE THAN 4 GRAMS OF POTENT SPEED. ITT 6/11/91 11-13

END OF FILE ----------

If you notice the name INMAN in the above, that is Adm. Bobby Inman recently mentioned in Tim Good's book "Alien Liason" and the subject of the January 1992 rebuttal of Bob Oechsler in the MUFON Journel.

Best:

Don Ecker
Director Research
UFO Magazine

--
Don Ecker - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Don.Ecker@p0.f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

********To have your comments in the next issue, send electronic mail to********

'infopara' at the following address:

UUCP {ncar,isis,csn}!scicom!infopara
DOMAIN infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com

 For administrative requests (subscriptions, back issues) send to:

UUCP {ncar,isis,csn}!scicom!infopara-request
DOMAIN infopara-request@scicom.alphacdc.com
 To obtain back issues by anonymous ftp, connect to:

DOMAIN ftp.uiowa.edu (directory /archives/paranet)

Mail to private Paranet/Fidonet addresses from the newsletters:
DOMAIN firstname.lastname@paranet.org
UUCP scicom!paranet.org!firstname.lastname

**********The**End**of**Info-ParaNet**Newsletter**********